

here and have not recorded your presence, like Senator Goll, Senator Schmit, Senator Haberman, Senator Richard Peterson. May I introduce some guests while we are collecting. Senator Conway, under the north balcony, has a couple of guests, Darrel and Betty Curry of Newcastle. Would you please stand. We are voting on the adoption of the Warner amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay, and it's a roll call vote. Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: (Roll call vote taken as found on pages 574-75 of the Legislative Journal.) 23 ayes, 23 nays, Mr. President.

SPEAKER NICHOL: The motion fails. Do you have anything else on the bill?

CLERK: I have nothing further on the bill, Mr. President.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Hoagland, did you wish to...

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Yeah, let me explain what the bill does and the dilemma that we're in right now, colleagues. This bill makes a very important institutional change. It's a minor change, but it's an important change and the important change is that it takes the county courts out of the business of issuing marriage licenses and puts it with the county clerk which is where it belongs because the county clerk really has those kinds of functions in many other areas. The problem is that when you move the function from the county court to the county clerk the \$10 fee goes to the county clerk now...

SPEAKER NICHOL: Excuse me, Senator. The call is raised. Thank you.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: ...and when the \$10 fee goes to the county clerk, why the state loses that \$10 fee and that revenue is amounted to about \$130,000, at least last year or the year before it amounted to that. Now this is a change we need to make, but in making the change we're going to have a revenue loss of over \$100,000 at the state level. Senator Warner's amendment was intended to take care of that by simply doubling the fee from ten to \$20 and as I indicated earlier, in 1982 it cost \$25 if you include the syphilis test to get married so I thought Senator Warner's amendment made sense and was a reasonable amendment. Now, I still think we should advance the bill to Final Reading to